

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
|-----------------|---------------------|----------------------|---------------------|-----------------|
| 09/700,099 | 12/11/2000 | Bernd Fischer | D078 1090 | 2645 |
| 7: | 590 06/24/2005 | | EXAMINER | |
| James F Vaughan | | | DICUS, TAMRA | |
| Womble Carlyl | le Sandridge & Rice | | | |
| PO Box 725388 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| Atlanta, GA | 31139-9388 | | 1774 | |
| | | | DATE MAN ED OCOADOO | _ |

DATE MAILED: 06/24/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | | <i>F</i> | | | |
|--|--|--|------------|--|--|--|
| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | ε- | | | |
| | 09/700,099 | FISCHER ET AL. | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Tamra L. Dicus | 1774 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communicate Period for Reply | ntion appears on the cover sheet | with the correspondence address | S | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) of the second of | ATION. 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may cation. lays, a reply within the statutory minimum of to ory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) Mill, by statute, cause the application to become | a reply be timely filed thirty (30) days will be considered timely. ONTHS from the mailing date of this commun ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | lication. | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed | on 12 April 2005. | | | | | |
| · · | This action is non-final. | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for | | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice | under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C | .D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6,9-15 and 25</u> is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are 5)□ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6,9-15 and 25</u> is/are rejected to. 7)□ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)□ Claim(s) are subject to restriction | withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the E | Examiner. | | | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a | ı)□ accepted or b)□ objected t | o by the Examiner. | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection | • , , | • • | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the 11) The oath or declaration is objected to be | · | * | , , | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority do 2. Certified copies of the priority do 3. Copies of the certified copies of application from the Internationa * See the attached detailed Office action for | ocuments have been received. Ocuments have been received in the priority documents have been large (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | n Application No en received in this National Stag | l e | | | |
| Attachment(s) | _ | | | | | |
| Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC | | w Summary (PTO-413) lo(s)/Mail Date | | | | |
| Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT Paper No(s)/Mail Date | | of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) | ١ | | | |

Ke

DETAILED ACTION

Acknowledgement of the RCE and cancellation of claims 7 and 8 is made.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1-4, 6, and 11-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by USPN 6,254,956 to Kjellqvist et al.

Kjellqvist teaches a floor covering comprising at least one elastomer as a polymeric binder based on at least one polyolefin with a density < 0.910 g/cm³, and at least one grafted copolymer, wherein the grafted copolymer is maleic acid anhydride grafted HD polyethylene and co-crosslinking agent of an acrylate (col. 8, line 9, col. 10, lines 23-68, col. 11, lines 35-65, acrylic acid or acrylonitrile and any combination thereof, Table 2). Claims 1 and 12 are met.

Regarding claim 2, Table 2 of Kjellqvist teaches polyolefin density of 0.871, falling within Applicant's range of 0.85-0.892 g/cm³.

Regarding claim 3, Kjellqvist teaches polyolefin selected from very low density (VLD)

PE polymers, LLDPE (linear low density polyethylene) and LDPE (low density polyethylene)

are considered a synonymous selection.

Regarding claim 4, Kjellqvist teaches a mixture of at least two ethylene copolymers because Kjellqvist requires at least one ethylene and a combination of MAH or PP copolymer blends (col. 11, lines 40-51) and further shows the melt flow index of 30 of a choice polymer in Table 2, meeting Applicant's range of >3 and density requirements are also met, Kjellqvist teaching choice polymer densities of 0.902 and 0.871 g/cm³, falling within Applicant's range of 0.89-0.91 and 0.86-0.88 g/cm³.

Regarding claim 6, Kjellqvist teaches copolymers of ethylene and octane (Table 2).

Regarding claims 11 and 13, Kjellqvist teaches the floor covering as claimed in Claim 1, wherein the elastomer is cross-linked with at least one cross-linking agent based on an organic peroxide and optionally a co-cross-linking agent and fillers and pigments (col. 12, line 13-col. 13, line 3).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 5, 9-10, and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over USPN 6,254,956 to Kiellqvist et al.

Kjellqvist essentially teaches the claimed invention above.

Regarding claims 5, 9-10, and 25, Kjellqvist teaches the same materials and recites weight percentages (Tables 1C, Table 2, and Tables 4-9), but does not explicitly recite a weight ratio of 4:1 to 3:2 (instant claim 5) or grafting degree percentage of 1-5 (instant claim 9), or the proportion of grafted copolymer to total weight of polymer binder is 5% to 25% (instant claims 10 and 25). However, because the same materials and mixtures are provided, one having ordinary skill in the art would expect the ranges to be an optimizable feature because Kjellqvist teaches many polymeric blends are varied to effectively change melt flow, density and other properties as cited in Tables 2-9, col. 10, lines 23-68, and col. 11, lines 35-65.

5. Claims 14-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over USPN 6,254,956 to Kjellqvist et al. as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of USPN 6,399,689 to Scarlette.

Kjellqvist essentially teaches the claimed invention above.

Regarding claims 14 and 15, Kjellqvist does not show a mixture of filler comprising mineral intergrowths as in instant claim 14. Kjellqvist does not show a variable color pattern and a homogenous design as in instant claim 15.

Kjellqvist teaches a mixture of different fillers is employed and includes alumina (col. 12, lines 30-41).

Scarlette teaches fillers, pigments, and an alumina ceramic grain composition blended into any floor finishing composition for enhancing abrasion resistance without compromising flexibility, hardness, and adhesion (col. 2, lines 30-45, col. 3, lines 35-41) and specifically uses

Application/Control Number: 09/700,099

Art Unit: 1774

alumina to enhance physical properties when included with mineral particles and teaches aluminates having a crystal structure in platelet shape (col. 5, lines 25-49).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the elastomer floor covering of Kjellqvist to utilize a filler mixture of platelet-shaped and crystalline mineral intergrowths because Scarlette teaches such an alumina filler enhances physical properties and abrasion resistance without compromising flexibility, hardness, and adhesion (col. 3, lines 35-41 and col. 5, lines 25-49).

Further, It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the elastomer floor covering of Kjellqvist and Scarlette with pigments in a design and mineral intergrowth fillers of a homogenous construction since it is known that such a mixture provides decorative color and an effective filler for the flooring to provide for a consistent composition for the flooring as taught above.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tamra L. Dicus whose telephone number is 571-272-1519. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 7:00-4:30 p.m., alternate Fridays.

Application/Control Number: 09/700,099 Page 6

Art Unit: 1774

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rena Dye can be reached on 571-272-3186. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Tamra L. Dicus Examiner Art Unit 1774

June 17, 2005

RENA DYE Su**pervisory** Patent Examiner

A U.1714